James W. McConkie (2156)
Bradley H. Parker (2519)
W. Alexander Evans (12085)
PARKER & McConkie
5664 South Green Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84123
Telephone: (801) 264-1950
Facsimile: (801) 266-1338
jwmcconkie@utahlawhelp.com
bparker@utahlawhelp.com
alex@sjatty.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF UTAH

JILL McCLUSKEY and MATTHEW McCLUSKEY, individually and for and on behalf of LAUREN McCLUSKEY, deceased,

Plaintiffs.

v.

STATE OF UTAH (including the University of Utah, University Department of Housing and Residential Education and University Department of Public Safety), DALE BROPHY, KORY NEWBOLD, KAYLA DALLOF, MIGUEL DERAS, TODD JUSTENSEN, HEATHER McCARTHY, EMILY THOMPSON and JANE/JOHN DOES 1-10.

Defendants.

MOTION AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVERLENGTH MEMORANDUM OPPOSING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Case No. 2:19-cv-00449-HCN-PMW

District Judge: Howard C. Nielson, Jr.

Chief Magistrate Judge: Paul M. Warner

Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel, James W. McConkie, Bradley H. Parker and W.

Alexander Evans at the law firm of Parker & McConkie, pursuant to DUCiv R 7-1(e), hereby

submit this Motion and Memorandum in Support of Motion for Leave to File Overlength Memorandum Opposing Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

RELIEF REQUESTED

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant them leave to file an overlength memorandum opposing Defendants' Motion to Dismiss that exceeds the customary page limit of 25 pages and contains an estimated additional 21 pages on the ground that good cause and exceptional circumstances justify the need for the specified extension.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. On September 20, 2019, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, seeking to dismiss all of Plaintiffs' claims on multiple grounds, including but not limited to qualified immunity.
- 2. On September 18, 2019, this Court signed an Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Overlength Motion to Dismiss, allowing Defendants to file a motion that exceeded the customary 25 page limit by 7 pages.
- 3. Plaintiffs Memorandum Opposing Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is due on November 25, 2019.

LEGAL ARGUMENT

Under DUCiv Rule 7-1(e), this Court may approve a request to file a memorandum that exceeds the customary page limits "for good cause and a showing of exceptional circumstances." In this case, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss seeks dismissal with respect to *all* of Plaintiffs' claims and requires a detailed analysis with regard to complex issues involving both Title IX and the right to equal protection under the Fourteenth Amendment, including complex issues that

require a fact intensive analysis and that relate to individual liability, supervisory liability,

municipal liability and qualified immunity. Some of the issues may involve matters of first

impression and each legal and factual issue must be addressed with respect to eight separate

defendants. The arguments necessary to respond to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss cannot be

adequately articulated within the page limits established by the local rules and this Court will

benefit from the complete analysis that can be provided in a longer brief. Indeed, Defendants

needed a longer brief to articulate their arguments and Plaintiffs likewise need a longer brief to

respond to those arguments. While Plaintiffs are in the final stages of drafting their opposition to

the Motion to Dismiss, and while the final draft may ultimately be shorter, Plaintiffs estimate

that they will need a total of 46 pages to provide an adequate response.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant their

Motion for Leave to File Overlength Memorandum Opposing Defendants' Motion to Dismiss,

thereby allowing Plaintiffs to file a memorandum that exceeds the customary 25 page limit by 21

pages. This Motion is accompanied by a proposed Order Granting Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave

to File Overlength Memorandum Opposing Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, which has been filed

herewith.

DATED this 21st day of November, 2019.

PARKER & MCCONKIE

James W. McConkie

James W. McConkie

Attorney for Plaintiffs

3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via electronic and U.S. mail, this <u>21st</u> day of November, 2019, to the following:

Phyllis J. Vetter General Counsel and Vice President University of Utah 201 South Presidents Circle, Room 309 Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Sean D. Reyes, Utah Attorney General Kyle D. Kaiser Darin B. Goff Rachael George Terry Assistant Utah Attorneys General 160 East 300 South, Sixth Floor P.O. Box 140856 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114

James W. McConkie

James W. McConkie
Attorney for Plaintiffs